|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Group No** | 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project Title** | Self-Learning Web Site | | | | | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s Name** | Dr. Shiromi Arunathilaka | | | | | | | | | |
| **Co-Supervisor’s Name** | Ms. Lakshani Gayanthilaka | | | | | | | | | |
| **Group Meeting Number** | 3 | | **Date** | | | 29/05/2020 | | | | |
| **Summary of work carried out during last two weeks** | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Discussed with the group members and finalized the diagrams 2. Completed the feasibility study part by part individually, discussed and finalized the feasibility report 3. Finalized the project scope 4. Discussed about the quality attributes and made the quality attribute report 5. Completed and submitted the 1st Edition of the project proposal | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Individual Contribution for last two weeks** | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. A W K B Gunathilaka | * Completed the use case diagram for the student * Completed the feasibility report | | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor mark | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 |
| 1. K S A Kinivita | * Completed the use case diagram for the teacher * Completed activity diagrams of the Student * Completed the quality attributes report | | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor mark | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 |
| 1. K A H C Kumarasinghe | * Completed the use case diagram for the Content manager * Completed the component diagram * Completed activity Diagrams Content manager | | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor mark | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 |
| 1. W K D N P Wijesiri | * Completed the use case diagram for the Admin * Completed activity Diagrams Admin * Completed the project scope description | | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor mark | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 |
| 1. P P S Prasanthika | * Completed activity diagrams of the Teacher * Completed the component diagrams | | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor mark | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 |
| **Meeting outcomes** | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Discussed about the timeline of the project. * Discussed the errors in the diagrams and corrected * Discussed about the individual parts of the feasibility study and corrected the errors * Discussed about the quality attributes and noted down the main points * Discussed about the presentation * Discussed about the System Requirement Specification | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Responsibilities Accepted by group members for the next two weeks** | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. A W K B Gunathilaka | * Updating the project proposal by correcting the errors | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. K S A Kinivita | * Creating the PowerPoint presentation for the online project proposal presentation | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. K A H C Kumarasinghe | * Working on the Class diagrams | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. W K D N P Wijesiri | * Working on the ER diagram | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. P P S Prasanthika | * Working on the Sequence diagram | | | | | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s/ Co-Supervisor’s Remark** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dear Group 20,  Your draft proposal is written well..  However, incorporate the new areas that we discussed in the online meeting we had.  Satisfactory progress. Keep up the good work !!  Regards | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Supervisor’s and Co- Supervisor’s opinion about the group progress** | | | | Satisfactory | | | | Unsatisfactory | | |
| **Co-Supervisor’s** signature | | | |  | | | | | | |
| Supervisor’s signature | | | |  | | | | | | |
| **Any Other Notes (Email evidence/screenshot from supervisor)** | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Attendance of the group members** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Student Name** | | | | **Index No.** | | | | | **Signature** | |
| 1). A W K B Gunathilaka | | | | 18000592 | | | | |  | |
| 2). K S A Kinivita | | | | 18000819 | | | | |  | |
| 3). K A H C Kumarasinghe | | | | 18000878 | | | | |  | |
| 4). W K D N P Wijesiri | | | | 18001963 | | | | |  | |
| 5). P P S Prasanthika | | | | 18001254 | | | | |  | |